A Serious Game for Massive Training and Assessment of French Soldiers Involved in Forward Combat Casualty Care (3D-SC1): Development and Deployment

Pierre Pasquier¹, MD, MSc; Stéphane Mérat², MD; Brice Malgras³, MD, MSc; Ludovic Petit⁴, MD; Xavier Queran⁵, MD; Christian Bay⁶, MD; Mathieu Boutonnet¹, MD, MSc; Patrick Jault¹, MD; Sylvain Ausset^{1,7}, MD; Yves Auroy^{7,8}, MD, PhD; Jean Paul Perez^{7,8}, MD, MSc; Antoine Tesnière⁹, MD, PhD; François Pons⁷, MD; Alexandre Mignon⁹, MD, PhD

¹Percy Military Teaching Hospital, Department of anesthesiology and intensive care, French Military Health Service, Clamart, France ²Maria Langelogue Surgical Center, Department of anesthesiology and intensive area. La Placeia Robinson, France

³Bégin Military Teaching Hospital, Department of visceral and vascular surgery, French Military Health Service, Paris, France

⁴Military Medical Center, 8ème Régiment Parachutiste d'Infanterie de Marine, French Military Health Service, Castres, France

⁵Military Medical Center, 3ème Régiment Parachutiste d'Infanterie de Marine, French Military Health Service, Carcassonne, France

⁶French Military Health Service Academy, Tactical Care Training Department, French Military Health Service, Paris, France

⁷École du Val-de-Grâce, French Military Health Service Academy, French Military Health Service, Paris, France

⁸Hospital and Research Division, Head Office of French Military Health Service, French Military Health Service, Vincennes, France ⁹iLUMENS, Department of Simulation, Université Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

Corresponding Author:

Pierre Pasquier, MD, MSc Percy Military Teaching Hospital Department of anesthesiology and intensive care French Military Health Service 101 avenue Henri Barbusse Clamart, 92141 France Phone: 33 141466000 Fax: 33 141465319 Email: pasquier9606@me.com

Abstract

Background: The French Military Health Service has standardized its military prehospital care policy in a "Sauvetage au Combat" (SC) program (Forward Combat Casualty Care). A major part of the SC training program relies on simulations, which are challenging and costly when dealing with more than 80,000 soldiers. In 2014, the French Military Health Service decided to develop and deploy 3D-SC1, a serious game (SG) intended to train and assess soldiers managing the early steps of SC.

Objectives: The purpose of this paper is to describe the creation and production of 3D-SC1 and to present its deployment.

Methods: A group of 10 experts and the Paris Descartes University Medical Simulation Department spin-off, Medusims, coproduced 3D-SC1. Medusims are virtual medical experiences using 3D real-time videogame technology (creation of an environment and avatars in different scenarios) designed for educational purposes (training and assessment) to simulate medical situations. These virtual situations have been created based on real cases and tested on mannequins by experts. Trainees are asked to manage specific situations according to best practices recommended by SC, and receive a score and a personalized feedback regarding their performance.

Results: The scenario simulated in the SG is an attack on a patrol of 3 soldiers with an improvised explosive device explosion as a result of which one soldier dies, one soldier is slightly stunned, and the third soldier experiences a leg amputation and other injuries. This scenario was first tested with mannequins in military simulation centers, before being transformed into a virtual 3D real-time scenario using a multi-support, multi-operating system platform, Unity. Processes of gamification and scoring were applied, with 2 levels of difficulty. A personalized debriefing was integrated at the end of the simulations. The design and

RenderX

²Marie Lannelongue Surgical Center, Department of anesthesiology and intensive care, Le Plessis Robinson, France

production of the SG took 9 months. The deployment, performed in 3 months, has reached 84 of 96 (88%) French Army units, with a total of 818 hours of connection in the first 3 months.

Conclusions: The development of 3D-SC1 involved a collaborative platform with interdisciplinary actors from the French Health Service, a university, and videogame industry. Training each French soldier with simulation exercises and mannequins is challenging and costly. Implementation of SGs into the training program could offer a unique opportunity at a lower cost to improve training and subsequently the real-time performance of soldiers when managing combat casualties; ideally, these should be combined with physical simulations.

(JMIR Serious Games 2016;4(1):e5) doi: 10.2196/games.5340

KEYWORDS

serious games; forward combat casualty care; care under fire; interdisciplinary collaboration; simulation; medical simulation; virtual simulation; training; education; assessment

Introduction

The survivability of battlefield casualties has recently risen to an unequalled historical level of 90%, compared to 84% in Vietnam and 80% in World War II [1]. Factors most likely related to this improved survivability include technical improvements in body armor and deployment of a comprehensive trauma management system (involving first aid and basic life support on the field and subsequent prompt surgery and critical care). Tactical Combat Casualty Care (TCCC) is now considered as a reference for management of combat casualties at the point of injury. It combines a set of trauma management guidelines designed for use on the battlefield [2,3]. Improved training of soldiers and military caregivers based on the concepts of TCCC plays an important role in the improved survival of combat casualties [4].

In 2007, the French Military Health Service standardized this TCCC concept in its military prehospital care training policy through a specific program entitled "Sauvetage au Combat" (SC, "forward combat casualty care"). After delivery of first aid to soldiers in the under fire stage, forward medicalization on the battlefield is one characteristic of the SC. The medical team is sent as close as possible to the casualty at the time of injury. In the SC training program, emphasis is placed on simulations, which are considered a gold standard in team training for improvement of both technical and nontechnical skills, in both civilian and military trauma settings [5-8]. However, the logistics involved in training and testing each French soldier with simulation exercises and mannequins make them challenging and costly. Moreover, there might be important delays between the training period and the actual operations. However, regardless of these delays, knowledge of both adequate procedures and skills has to be maintained.

Computer-based technologies, such as e-learning, massive online open courses, or serious games (SGs), have become increasingly prevalent in education, training, and simulation. SGs are digital simulations similar to video games that are engaging, rewarding, and fun as they simultaneously educate and train [9-10]. SGs use the gamification concept for training applications. Gamification is the application of game-based elements to nongame mechanisms, including education. SGs have drawn much attention over the last decade because they have become more realistic and engaging, owing to technological improvements such as better graphics and new gaming interfaces

```
http://games.jmir.org/2016/1/e5/
```

and gameplays. SGs are also more affordable, owing to their reduced costs of production. Thus, SGs could address a larger population of trainees to increase the frequency of cognitive training, allowing training to occur anytime and anywhere, and can be used to assess retention of procedural skills in a more practical manner and at a lesser cost [11].

Therefore, the French Military Health Service considered in 2014 the development of 3D-SC1, an innovative SG devoted to the training of soldiers for casualty care under fire; 3D-SC1 constitutes the first part of a virtual simulation platform. Combined with the next 3D-SC versions, it will allow training of combat lifesavers (SC2) and nurses and physicians (SC3) for forward combat casualty care applications on the battlefield.

The purpose of this paper is to describe the design and production processes of 3D-SC1 and to discuss its deployment.

Methods

Medusims

Medusims are virtual digital medical simulations using videogame technology to simulate realistic medical situations for training and assessment purposes. They were produced by a French startup, Medusims, created in 2011 [12]. Medusims has already produced 6 SGs in the cardiology area (Staying Alive after cardiac arrest for the general public [www.stayingalive.fr], acute coronary syndrome, atrial fibrillation, and pulmonary embolism), and 2 SGs in the perinatology area (Born to be Alive for the general public [www.borntobealive.fr] and postpartum hemorrhage [12]).

Medusims were used to assess how effective practices are, emphasizing on the importance of having reference material and procedures reflecting real-life scenarios. The trainees used Medusims as an active learning method to familiarize themselves with procedures, without any risk to the patients or casualties. Medusims produced virtual simulations in a 3D studio, using the Unity engine, a multi–operating system and a multiplatform tool allowing trainees to access the digital experiences on personal computers or tablets. Medusims has developed an internal process of in-house production, integrating medicine and technology, to deliver high-quality products, with a production studio panel of game design, human engineering, pedagogic engineering, graphics computing, and 3D animation, working closely with medical and military experts on the topic.

XSL•FO RenderX

Preparation of 3D-SC1 project

The French Military Health Service designed an advisory board of SC experts, most of them being existing members of the Comité Opérationel d'Enseignement du Sauvetage au Combat (COESC, the Operational Committee for SC teaching). Several steps before the beginning of 3D-SC production were also validated, which were as follows.

- Definition of the trainees involved as first player shooter in the experience (soldiers for SC1, health-qualified soldiers, or combat lifesavers for SC2, physicians and nurses for SC3, to come later). In the first version of the experience, there was only 1 person playing (mono user).
- Definition of training objectives for SC1 (survival ٠ positioning, compression bandage, tactical tourniquet, and morphine auto-injector use), SC2 (bleeding shock management including intraosseous access, tactical tourniquet assessment, airway, and respiratory management including pneumothorax decompression and cricothyroidotomy), and SC3 (medical trauma management in a hostile remote environment, with tracheal intubation, sedation, early use of tranexamic acid, and vasopressor agents in case of hemorrhagic shock, digital thoracotomy with chest tube insertion, handheld focused assessment with sonography for trauma, and strategies for crisis resource management) [13-15].
- Design of a scenario involving an improvised explosive device (IED) attack on a patrol of 3 soldiers, followed by a second patrol among which was the trainee, allowing the trainee to test the correct procedural skills execution, in the right order and as quickly as possible.
- Test of the realistic features of this scenario in real simulation with mannequins, in order to observe right and wrong actions delivered.
- Design of 2 levels of difficulty—beginner and advanced.
- Design of a correcting grid for scoring and personalized debriefing.
- Design of a rewarding process (bronze, silver, and gold medal graduation) according to the scoring system, integrating time, and actions delivered.
- Design of a gameplay, interface, and the look and feel of the SG.

• Finally, definition of the different animations to be produced, including using 3D motion capture.

Different iterations were developed between the experts and the studio, which allowed production of a beta version in 8 months. After debugging, a final gold version was delivered after 9 months, and it was successfully submitted to the French Army in January 2015.

Results

Creation of 3D-SC1

A 10-member expert advisory group was formed to identify training priorities for which 3D-SC1 could be appropriately used. All these experts held local or national roles in organization and training of SC programs. Experienced physicians and soldiers in operational units, with significant experience of deployment in combat zones, also participated. A scenario was created to illustrate a real-life–based experience, the explosion of an IED during a reconnaissance mission. The same panel of experts promoted the scientific validation of the scenario according to the SC French guidelines.

In the 3D-SC1 scenario, the explosion of an IED creates 3 casualties: one is dying, one is slightly stunned; and the last one presents with a traumatic amputation of the limb, difficult breathing, and another hemorrhagic injury under the arm. At the beginning of the experience, the care under fire stage is illustrated by hostile fire after the IED explosion, in a stressful, hostile, and noisy ambience (explosion, firearms shooting, shouts of pain), and an austere setting (desert; Figure 1). The trainee has to choose between several tactical options: return fire, determine which casualty is dead or alive, determine which casualty can return fire, or pick up the casualties and run to cover (Figure 2). When no longer under direct enemy fire, the trainee has to deal with different forward combat life-saving procedures from SC programs (Figure 3): tourniquet application, casualty survival positioning, hemostatic dressing, and morphine auto-injector use. In addition, the trainee has to deal with weapons security, management of personal protective equipment, call for a 9-line medical evacuation (MEDEVAC) and a MIST request (which includes mechanism of injury, type of injury, signs, and treatment given).



Pasquier et al

Figure 1. Tactical options in 3D-SC1.



Figure 2. Pick and run in 3D-SC1.





Figure 3. Combat casualty management with tactical tourniquet application and survival positioning in 3D-SC1.



Development and Production of 3D-SC1

The development of 3D-SC1 was performed in a 3D studio (Figure 4). Particular attention was paid to essential movements and postures, such as tactical tourniquet application, recorded in a motion capture mode to provide to the trainee a high-quality reproduction of the movement in the 3D-SC1 experience. Development included a gamification process where players are challenged to keep on playing to reach the game's objective. Two levels were defined: beginner and advanced modes.

In case of failure in applying the right procedure at the right time and in the right order, an automatic virtual instructor takes control of the experience and simulates the right procedure. In the advanced difficulty level, the trainee has to face a more restrictive time limit, combined with more challenging procedures: an inefficient first tactical tourniquet and delayed MEDEVAC arrival or frequent changes in tactical context.

Most importantly, at the end of the 3D-SC1 simulation, a personalized debriefing is proposed (Figure 5), highlighting good performance achieved in the experience, the procedures for which the trainee has to improve, and the missed procedures for which the automatic virtual instructor had to take the control of the experience to perform the procedure [16-17].

Finally, on the basis of a scoring process, the trainee graduates with either a gold, silver, or bronze medal. In case of fatal outcome in the virtual experience due to an inappropriate response of the trainee, an automatic virtual instructor saves the combat casualties, and the trainee obtains nothing but a training certificate. They are invited to participate in the 3D-SC1 experience once again to improve their knowledge in the application of SC procedures.



Pasquier et al

Figure 4. Three-dimensional studio-development of 3D-SC1.



Figure 5. Personalized debriefing at the end of 3D-SC1.



Deployment of 3D-SC1

Because SC programs are now completely incorporated into the predeployment training course of all combatants, the diffusion of 3D-SC1 is planned to reach at least all combatants before their deployment in a theater of operations. Successful deployment of 3D-SC1 was conducted in only 3 months and has already reached 84 of 96 (88%) French Army regiments. Solutions for massive implementation of 3D-SC1 include, for now, personal computers and laptops in a basic classroom, with a total of 818 hours of connection in the first 3 months (in hours, for each training center, mean 19.9, [standard deviation 35.2]). However, 3D-SC1 is also scheduled to be deployed on tablets or smartphones. Such an individual deployment of the SG could let the trainees have their own 3D-SC1 experiences anywhere and anytime. The personalized debriefings are planned to be collected in an extensive databank, allowing global statistical analysis, in order to improve the SC training programs according to the results obtained in different groups of trainees.

RenderX

Discussion

The development of 3D-SC1, a SG for SC, the French Forward Combat Casualty Care program, has been completed.

Here, we have described an innovative tool for learning and assessing care under fire and its collaborative preparation for design and production by interdisciplinary experts and actors. This led to a fast deployment of the SG, and the integration of a comprehensive collection of data for further assessment of the French SC program.

Limitations

A major limitation of this study is that no objective data regarding the pedagogic value of the SG were assessed. In fact, we have not measured most benefits of simulation, such as that with 3D-SC1, described in this section. However, a randomized observational comparative study is ongoing. How these SGs could be assessed, as new tools for learning and training, is not clear. When applying the concept of 4 levels in evaluating training programs, as described by Kirkpatrick, we believe that studying preventable deaths as an outcome (the fourth level in the Kirkpatrick model, ie, did the change in behavior positively affect the patient) could be really challenging, especially in a combat setting [18]. We could probably only reach level 3 in the Kirkpatrick model by placing the soldier in a physical simulated environment to assess whether learning is transferred from cognition to skills and attitudes. Other points of discussion include that 3D-SC1 concerns a single-center production. However, as highlighted in the description of the process of creation and production, the development of 3D-SC1 involved a collaborative platform with interdisciplinary actors.

Actually, realization of an SG for SC training is based on the concept that an SG has the potential to increase observance and engagement with training programs in military institutions. There is an increasing interest for medical virtual simulation in numerous settings, including trauma, surgery, anesthesia, emergency medicine, women's health care, and even patients' education [19-27].

The benefits of complete virtual training include the ability to create unlimited training scenarios and to repeatedly try and fail in a consequence-free environment. In addition, when training is conducted in a virtual environment, each performed step is considered as essential data. The computer reproduces each movement a trainee makes, and thus, can track and record each and every one of these. Using these data, performance can be automatically quantified to a level that was not achievable ever before [28]. Furthermore, through the processes of scoring and gamification applied in 3D-SC1, the trainee is motivated to improve his personal experience. He also shares his scores with his peers in a competitive and engaging challenge [29-31].

Different virtual simulations have been developed in TCCC programs and several military medical settings, with a very strict adherence to TCCC guidelines depending on the nations' specific policies [8,28,32-38]. Indeed, 3D-SC1 is following the SC guidelines created by the French Military Health Service Academy (École du Val-de-Grâce) in an official 2007

publication, which has been updated every year by the COESC (the Operational Committee for SC teaching) [13].

Besides SGs, live simulation exercises are the accepted "gold standard" for military preparedness before deployment in a combat zone. However, they are costly and time consuming to organize and may be disrupt local services [39,40]. In contrast, 3D-SC1, used on a standalone computer with a suitable connection for data collection, enables multiple trainees to learn in a real-time, immersive environment, regardless of physical location. Finally, SGs are gradually taking an important place beside physical simulation (high-fidelity manikin simulation combined with casualty simulation moulaged actors), although the incomplete application of strong artificial intelligence, which would allow full mixed-initiative dialogue, can limit their applicability. However, growth in the use of SGs is likely to continue because of their ability to scale inexpensively to large numbers of physically dispersed learners, adapt quickly to prior knowledge and other individual characteristics of learners, and be available anytime and anywhere via a global information infrastructure [41].

A common criticism about SGs is that the dynamic colorful world of a computer game will distract the trainee's attention from the learning process. However, current soldiers grew up with digital media and have developed a much better aptitude to relate a virtual world to reality. However, this criticism must be addressed for 3D-SC1 to become well accepted. It is also necessary to demonstrate its educational value and its clinical effectiveness in real combat casualty situations.

Virtual environments are not a substitute for hands-on training; they can neither simulate the physical elements of tactical response nor provide training in the dexterity of performing procedures on a casualty. To potentiate existing training, 3D-SC1 can be used as an. For example, it can improve knowledge of adequate procedures before participating in complete military exercises or local SC stages, conducted in lifelike conditions, such as MedicHos Médicalisation en milieu Hostile (Medicalization in a Hostile environment) or ExOSAN Exercice Opération Sanitaire (Exercise for Operation Sanitary) exercises [13,23].

Multiplication of 3D-SC1 scenarios and the next production of SC2 and SC3 could lead to the building of a virtual simulation platform for SC training, incorporated as part of a large military medical simulation-training program combining both SGs and physical simulation. Multiplayer large-scale virtual exercises could be included in predeployment training [42,43]. Finally, upcoming technologies such as augmented reality or haptic simulation could add to the definite 3D-SC program development [34,43,44].

Conclusions

3D-SC1 is a new SG module, dedicated to the cognitive training of soldiers for forward combat casualty care, during the care under fire stage. The development of 3D-SC1 involved a collaborative platform with interdisciplinary actors, including forward combat casualty care experts (the French SC), programmers, and game designers. The creation and production of 3D-SC1 outlines the applicability and acceptability of using

XSL•FO

virtual environments for training in SC procedures in dedicated scenarios. This type of simulation could easily be adapted to address different training needs of SC1 (such as urban combat or convoy attacks), SC2, and SC3 (such as combat casualty management during the tactical field care phase and preparation for casualty evacuation). 3D-SC1, actually designed for the French Army, could also find many applications to train people

involved in the management of trauma casualties in other settings such as tactical emergency care, disaster and crisis management, or mass casualty events. Further possibilities related to the application of 3D-SC1 are unlimited. The observational comparative trial addressing its educational value is ongoing, and its integration in a mandatory official military degree validation is the next step [23,45-51].

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1. Holcomb JB, Stansbury LG, Champion HR, Wade C, Bellamy RF. Understanding combat casualty care statistics. J Trauma 2006 Feb;60. Medline 2006:397-401.
- Butler F. Tactical combat casualty care: combining good medicine with good tactics. J Trauma 2003 May;54(5 Suppl):S2-S3. [doi: <u>10.1097/01.TA.0000064504.55800.73</u>] [Medline: <u>12768093</u>]
- 3. Butler FK, Blackbourne LH. Battlefield trauma care then and now: a decade of Tactical Combat Casualty Care. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2012 Dec;73(6 Suppl 5):S395-S402. [doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3182754850] [Medline: 23192061]
- 4. Kotwal RS, Montgomery HR, Kotwal BM, Champion HR, Butler FK, Mabry RL, et al. Eliminating preventable death on the battlefield. Arch Surg 2011 Dec;146(12):1350-1358. [doi: 10.1001/archsurg.2011.213] [Medline: 21844425]
- Thorson CM, Dubose JJ, Rhee P, Knuth TE, Dorlac WC, Bailey JA, Van Haren Robert M, et al. Military trauma training at civilian centers: a decade of advancements. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2012 Dec;73(6 Suppl 5):S483-S489. [doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e31827546fb] [Medline: 23192074]
- 6. Sohn VY, Runser LA, Puntel RA, Sebesta JA, Beekley AC, Theis JL, et al. Training physicians for combat casualty care on the modern battlefield. J Surg Educ 2007;64(4):199-203. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.jsurg.2007.05.007</u>] [Medline: <u>17706571</u>]
- Butler FK, Smith DJ, Carmona RH. Implementing and preserving the advances in combat casualty care from Iraq and Afghanistan throughout the US Military. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2015 Aug;79(2):321-326. [doi: 10.1097/TA.00000000000745] [Medline: 26218704]
- 8. Mercer SJ, Howell M, Simpson R. Simulation training for the frontline--realistic preparation for role 1 doctors. J R Army Med Corps 2010 Jun;156(2):87-89. [Medline: 20648943]
- 9. Stokes B. Video games have changed: time to consider "serious games". The Development Education Journal 2005;11:108.
- 10. Zyda M. From simulation to virtual reality to games. IEEE Computer 2005;38:25-32.
- 11. Graafland M, Dankbaar M, Mert A, Lagro J, De WL, Schuit S, et al. How to systematically assess serious games applied to health care. JMIR Serious Games 2014 Nov;2(2):e11 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/games.3825] [Medline: 25654163]
- 12. Mignon A. Medusims. Producing Serious Games for Medical Education and Assessment URL: <u>http://medusims.com/</u> [accessed 2015-11-12] [WebCite Cache ID 6czn42zcQ]
- Pasquier P, Dubost C, Boutonnet M, Chrisment A, Villevieille T, Batjom E, et al. Predeployment training for forward medicalisation in a combat zone: the specific policy of the French Military Health Service. Injury 2014 Sep;45(9):1307-1311. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.injury.2014.05.037</u>] [Medline: <u>24952973</u>]
- 14. Brooks AJ, Price V, Simms M. FAST on operational military deployment. Emerg Med J 2005 Apr;22(4):263-265 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/emj.2004.014308] [Medline: 15788825]
- 15. Midwinter MJ, Mercer S, Lambert AW, de RM. Making difficult decisions in major military trauma: a crew resource management perspective. J R Army Med Corps 2011 Sep;157(3 Suppl 1):S299-S304. [Medline: <u>22049811</u>]
- 16. Fanning RM, Gaba DM. The role of debriefing in simulation-based learning. Simul Healthc 2007;2(2):115-125. [doi: 10.1097/SIH.0b013e3180315539] [Medline: 19088616]
- 17. Paige JT, Arora S, Fernandez G, Seymour N. Debriefing 101: training faculty to promote learning in simulation-based training. Am J Surg 2015 Jan;209(1):126-131. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.amjsurg.2014.05.034</u>] [Medline: <u>25497438</u>]
- 18. Kirkpatrick D, Kirkpatrick J. Evaluating training programs: the four levels. San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler; 2006.
- 19. Seymour NE, Gallagher AG, Roman SA, O'Brien MK, Bansal VK, Andersen DK, et al. Virtual reality training improves operating room performance: results of a randomized, double-blinded study. Ann Surg 2002 Oct;236(4):458-63; discussion 463. [doi: 10.1097/01.SLA.0000028969.51489.B4] [Medline: 12368674]
- 20. Grantcharov TP, Kristiansen VB, Bendix J, Bardram L, Rosenberg J, Funch-Jensen P. Randomized clinical trial of virtual reality simulation for laparoscopic skills training. Br J Surg 2004 Feb;91(2):146-150. [doi: 10.1002/bjs.4407] [Medline: 14760660]
- McLaughlin S, Fitch MT, Goyal DG, Hayden E, Kauh CY, Laack TA, SAEM Technology in Medical Education Committeethe Simulation Interest Group. Simulation in graduate medical education 2008: a review for emergency medicine. Acad Emerg Med 2008 Nov;15(11):1117-1129 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/j.1553-2712.2008.00188.x] [Medline: 18638028]

- 22. Cumin D, Weller JM, Henderson K, Merry AF. Standards for simulation in anaesthesia: creating confidence in the tools. Br J Anaesth 2010 Jul;105(1):45-51 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/bja/aeq095] [Medline: 20507857]
- Cohen D, Sevdalis N, Taylor D, Kerr K, Heys M, Willett K, et al. Emergency preparedness in the 21st century: training and preparation modules in virtual environments. Resuscitation 2013 Jan;84(1):78-84. [doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.05.014] [Medline: 22659598]
- 24. de Wit-Zuurendonk L D, Oei SG. Serious gaming in women's health care. BJOG 2011 Nov;118 Suppl 3:17-21 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2011.03176.x] [Medline: 22039888]
- 25. Thompson D, Baranowski T, Buday R. Conceptual model for the design of a serious video game promoting self-management among youth with type 1 diabetes. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2010 May;4(3):744-749 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 20513343]
- 26. Inglés-Camats G, Presno-Rivas M, Antonijoan M, Garcia-Panella O, Forrest T. Yummy tricks: a serious game for learning healthy eating habits. Stud Health Technol Inform . Medline 2012;172:185-190.
- 27. Webster D, Celik O. Systematic review of Kinect applications in elderly care and stroke rehabilitation. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2014;11:108 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-11-108] [Medline: 24996956]
- 28. Matt RE, Bowyer MW. Simulation for trauma and combat casualty care. Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol 2005;14(4):224-234. [doi: 10.1080/13645700500243703] [Medline: 16754168]
- Nevin CR, Westfall AO, Rodriguez JM, Dempsey DM, Cherrington A, Roy B, et al. Gamification as a tool for enhancing graduate medical education. Postgrad Med J 2014 Dec;90(1070):685-693 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2013-132486] [Medline: 25352673]
- 30. Lister C, West JH, Cannon B, Sax T, Brodegard D. Just a fad? Gamification in health and fitness apps. JMIR Serious Games 2014 Aug;2(2):e9 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/games.3413] [Medline: 25654660]
- Lin DT, Park J, Liebert CA, Lau JN. Validity evidence for Surgical Improvement of Clinical Knowledge Ops: a novel gaming platform to assess surgical decision making. Am J Surg 2015 Jan;209(1):79-85. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.amjsurg.2014.08.033</u>] [Medline: <u>25454955</u>]
- 32. Hemman EA. Improving combat medic learning using a personal computer-based virtual training simulator. Mil Med 2005 Sep;170(9):723-727. [Medline: <u>16261973</u>]
- Walker RB, Underwood PK, Bernhagen M, Markin N, Boedeker BH. Virtual intubation training at a remote military site. Stud Health Technol Inform 2012;173:540-542. [Medline: <u>22357054</u>]
- Gao K, Wiederhold BK, Kong L, Wiederhold MD. Methodology case study of the application of haptics to combat medic training programs. Stud Health Technol Inform 2013;191:53-57. [Medline: <u>23792842</u>]
- Friedl KE, O'Neil HF. Designing and using computer simulations in medical education and training: an introduction. Mil Med 2013 Oct;178(10 Suppl):1-6. [doi: <u>10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00209</u>] [Medline: <u>24084299</u>]
- 36. Laporta AJ, Hoang T, Moloff A, Tieman M, Schwartz BD, Slack S, et al. From trauma in austere environments to combat or medical school: how blended hyper-realism in the real and virtual worlds can better prepare surgeons. Stud Health Technol Inform 2014;196:233-237. [Medline: 24732513]
- Magee H. Use of Advanced Technologies and New Procedures in Medical Field Operations: RTO-MP-HFM-182. A New Era in Medical Training through Simulation-Based Training Systems URL: <u>https://www.cso.nato.int/pubs/rdp.</u> asp?RDP=RTO-MP-HFM-182 [accessed 2015-11-12] [WebCite Cache ID 6cznNqQxe]
- 38. Sotomayor TM. Teaching tactical combat casualty care using the TC3 sim game-based simulation: a study to measure training effectiveness. Stud Health Technol Inform 2010;154:176-179. [Medline: 20543293]
- Patel V, Lee H, Taylor D, Aggarwal R, Kinross J, Darzi A. Virtual worlds are an innovative tool for medical device training in a simulated environment. Stud Health Technol Inform 2012;173:338-343. [Medline: 22357014]
- 40. Wiecha J, Heyden R, Sternthal E, Merialdi M. Learning in a virtual world: experience with using second life for medical education. J Med Internet Res 2010 Jan;12(1):e1 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.1337] [Medline: 20097652]
- 41. Fletcher JD, Wind AP. Cost considerations in using simulations for medical training. Mil Med 2013 Oct;178(10 Suppl):37-46. [doi: <u>10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00258</u>] [Medline: <u>24084304</u>]
- 42. Arora S, Cox C, Davies S, Kassab E, Mahoney P, Sharma E, et al. Towards the next frontier for simulation-based training: full-hospital simulation across the entire patient pathway. Ann Surg 2014 Aug;260(2):252-258. [doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000000305] [Medline: 24263325]
- 43. Goolsby C, Vest R, Goodwin T. New Wide Area Virtual Environment (WAVE) medical education. Mil Med 2014 Jan;179(1):38-41. [doi: <u>10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00310</u>] [Medline: <u>24402983</u>]
- 44. Zhu E, Hadadgar A, Masiello I, Zary N. Augmented reality in healthcare education: an integrative review. PeerJ 2014;2:e469 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.7717/peerj.469] [Medline: 25071992]
- 45. Gates JD, Arabian S, Biddinger P, Blansfield J, Burke P, Chung S, et al. The initial response to the Boston marathon bombing: lessons learned to prepare for the next disaster. Ann Surg 2014 Dec;260(6):960-966. [doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000000914] [Medline: 25386862]
- 46. Biddinger PD, Baggish A, Harrington L, d'Hemecourt P, Hooley J, Jones J, et al. Be prepared--the Boston Marathon and mass-casualty events. N Engl J Med 2013 May 23;368(21):1958-1960. [doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMp1305480</u>] [Medline: <u>23635020</u>]



- Wall PL, Welander JD, Smith HL, Buising CM, Sahr SM. What do the people who transport trauma patients know about tourniquets? J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2014 Nov;77(5):734-742. [doi: <u>10.1097/TA.00000000000433</u>] [Medline: <u>25494426</u>]
- Passos E, Dingley B, Smith A, Engels PT, Ball CG, Faidi S, Canadian Trauma Trials Collaborative. Tourniquet use for peripheral vascular injuries in the civilian setting. Injury 2014 Mar;45(3):573-577. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.injury.2013.11.031</u>] [Medline: <u>24360743</u>]
- Jenkins D, Stubbs J, Williams S, Berns K, Zielinski M, Strandenes G, et al. Implementation and execution of civilian remote damage control resuscitation programs. Shock 2014 May;41 Suppl 1:84-89. [doi: <u>10.1097/SHK.000000000000133</u>] [Medline: <u>24662783</u>]
- 50. Galante JM, Smith CA, Sena MJ, Scherer LA, Tharratt RS. Identification of barriers to adaptation of battlefield technologies into civilian trauma in California. Mil Med 2013 Nov;178(11):1227-1230. [doi: <u>10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00127</u>] [Medline: 24183771]
- Jacobs L, Burns KJ. The Hartford Consensus to improve survivability in mass casualty events: Process to policy. Am J Disaster Med 2014 Jan;9(1):67-71. [doi: <u>10.5055/ajdm.2014.0143</u>] [Medline: <u>24715646</u>]

Abbreviations

COESC: Comité Opérationel d'Enseignement du Sauvetage au Combat MEDEVAC: medical evacuation SC: Sauvetage au Combat TCCC: Tactical Combat Casualty Care

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 12.11.15; peer-reviewed by M Schijven, I Masiello; comments to author 07.02.16; revised version received 20.02.16; accepted 20.02.16; published 18.05.16

<u>Please cite as:</u> Pasquier P, Mérat S, Malgras B, Petit L, Queran X, Bay C, Boutonnet M, Jault P, Ausset S, Auroy Y, Perez JP, Tesnière A, Pons F, Mignon A A Serious Game for Massive Training and Assessment of French Soldiers Involved in Forward Combat Casualty Care (3D-SC1): Development and Deployment JMIR Serious Games 2016;4(1):e5 URL: <u>http://games.jmir.org/2016/1/e5/</u> doi: <u>10.2196/games.5340</u> PMID: <u>27194369</u>

©Pierre Pasquier, Stéphane Mérat, Brice Malgras, Ludovic Petit, Xavier Queran, Christian Bay, Mathieu Boutonnet, Patrick Jault, Sylvain Ausset, Yves Auroy, Jean Paul Perez, Antoine Tesnière, François Pons, Alexandre Mignon. Originally published in JMIR Serious Games (http://games.jmir.org), 18.05.2016. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Serious Games, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://games.jmir.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

