### Original Paper

# Development of a Novel Home-Based Exergame With On-Body Feedback: Usability Study

Alexandra Schättin<sup>1\*</sup>, PhD; Jordan Pickles<sup>2\*</sup>, MPhil; David Flagmeier<sup>3</sup>, BA; Benjamin Schärer<sup>2</sup>, MSc; Yanick Riederer<sup>1</sup>, MSc; Stephan Niedecken<sup>1</sup>, Dipl; Stefan Villiger<sup>3</sup>, BSc; Roman Jurt<sup>3</sup>, BA; Nicole Kind<sup>3</sup>, Dipl; Sam N Scott<sup>2,4\*</sup>, PhD; Christoph Stettler<sup>2\*</sup>, MD; Anna Lisa Martin-Niedecken<sup>1,3\*</sup>, PhD

### **Corresponding Author:**

Anna Lisa Martin-Niedecken, PhD Department of Design Institute of Design Research Zurich University of the Arts Pfingstweidstrasse 96 Zurich, 8031 Switzerland

Phone: 41 79 775 06 73 Email: anna.martin@zhdk.ch

# **Abstract**

**Background:** With more than 1.4 billion adults worldwide classified as physically inactive, physical inactivity is a public health crisis leading to an increased risk of cardiometabolic diseases. Motivating and engaging training strategies are needed to tackle this public health crisis. Studies have shown that exergames, games controlled by active body movements, are potentially usable, attractive, and effective tools for home-based training. The ExerCube (by Sphery Ltd) has been developed as a physically immersive and adaptive functional fitness game. The development of a home-based version of the ExerCube could increase accessibility, reduce barriers to exercise, and provide an attractive solution to improve physical and cognitive health.

**Objective:** The aim was threefold: (1) to develop a usable home-based exergame system, (2) to evaluate the usability and training experience of the home-based exergame and its early-stage on-body feedback system, and (3) to identify avenues for further user-centered design iterations of the system.

**Methods:** A total of 15 healthy participants (mean age 25, SD 3 years) completed 2 laboratory visits consisting of four 5-minute exergame sessions. In each session, the on-body feedback system provided a different feedback modality (auditory, haptic, and visual feedback) to the participant. Following the second visit, participants completed a range of assessments, including the System Usability Scale (SUS), the Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES), the Flow Short Scale (FSS), the Immersive Experience Questionnaire (IEQ), and a rating of perceived exertions (RPEs) both physically and cognitively. Participants answered questions regarding the on-body feedback system and completed a semistructured interview.

**Results:** Usability was rated as acceptable, with a SUS score of 70.5 (SD 12). The questionnaires revealed medium-to-high values for the training experience (FSS: 5.3, SD 1; PACES: 5.3, SD 1.1; IEQ: 4.7, SD 0.9. Physical (mean 4.8, SD 1.6) and cognitive (mean 3.9, SD 1.4) RPEs were moderate. Interviews about the on-body feedback system revealed that the majority of participants liked the haptic feedback and the combination of haptic and auditory feedback the best. Participants enjoyed the distinct perceptibility, processing, and integration of the exergame and its supportive and motivating effect. The visual feedback was perceived less positively by participants but was still classified as "potentially" helpful. The auditory feedback was rated well but highlighted an area for further improvement. Participants enjoyed the training experience and described it as motivating, interactive, immersive, something new, interesting, self-explanatory, as well as physically and cognitively challenging. Moreover, 67% (n=10) of the participants could imagine exercising at home and continuing to play the exergame in the future.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Sphery Ltd, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology, Nutritional Medicine and Metabolism, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Design, Institute of Design Research, Zurich University of the Arts, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Team Novo Nordisk Professional Cycling Team, Atlanta, GA, United States

<sup>\*</sup>these authors contributed equally

**Conclusions:** The home-based exergame and its early-stage on-body feedback system were rated as usable and an enjoyable training experience by a young healthy population. Promising avenues emerged for future design iterations.

(JMIR Serious Games 2022;10(4):e38703) doi: 10.2196/38703

### **KEYWORDS**

exergame; iterative design; home-based exergame; on-body feedback; usability; training experience; gameplay experience; home-based exercise; serious games; physical activity

### Introduction

Physical inactivity, defined as levels of physical activity lower than those generally recommended for optimal health as well as disease and premature death prevention [1], is a public health challenge [2], with more than 1.4 billion (~1 in 4) adults worldwide classified as physically inactive [3]. A number of common barriers to exercise, including a perceived lack of time, lack of motivation, financial restrictions, limited access to facilities, and appropriate equipment, as well as environmental factors such as bad weather, have been linked to low physical activity levels [4,5]. Home-based exercise has the potential to overcome some of these barriers to exercise, as it alleviates the need for travel and, if done indoors, overcomes the barrier of bad weather. The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated this trend, with many people exercising at home due to the closure of sports facilities [6].

To date, many home-based exercise studies have focused on simple body weight exercises, resistance banded training, and traditional training methods (ie, walking and jogging) [7-12]. While these approaches are generally effective at improving markers of health, they may be hampered in their effectiveness due to motivational issues interfering with regular exercise. New, engaging, and effective strategies may help to overcome such common exercise barriers. Furthermore, these strategies have the potential to increase the physical activity level to the World Health Organization recommendation of at least 150-300 minutes of moderate intensity or 75-150 minutes of vigorous intensity physical activity per week, or an equivalent combination [13], in an increasingly inactive population.

Exercise games (exergames) concurrently combine physical and cognitive training through an integration of physical exercises within video games [14]. Exergames have been shown to improve both cognitive and physical health [15-19] while providing a more attractive exercise setting compared to traditional gym-based exercises [17,18,20,21]. Regarding the integration and use of exergames at home, the literature shows that exergaming in a home-based setting elicits high training adherence and compliance [22-24]. Furthermore, studies showed acceptable to high rates for feasibility and usability in terms of home-based exergames in children and older adults [22,25]. Moreover, results demonstrated favorable emotional experiences as well as positive responses regarding motivational aspects [22,23]. Regular exergame system updates, including further attractive games, could help to keep the experience and motivation at a high level [25]. In addition, exergaming was proposed to be an effective training approach to maintain physical activity at home during the COVID-19 pandemic [26]. Nevertheless, literature points out the importance of flawless

technical functionality [22], as error-prone systems can exceed external support and lower training motivation [25]. Moreover, social and clinical guidance may remain necessary for sustained exergame engagement at home in certain settings [27]. Overall, exergaming has the potential for an attractive and effective home-based training strategy.

The ExerCube (Sphery Ltd), a physically immersive mixed-reality functional fitness game [21,28], has been found to provide an equally enjoyable and motivational experience when compared to traditional training methods [21,29]. Within the ExerCube, players are surrounded by 3 walls, which serve as projection screens and a haptic interface for energetic bodily interactions. A customized motion tracking system follows players' movements. The ExerCube incorporates technology that adapts the game in real time to the individualized requirements through changes in training intensity and in-game difficulty based on continuous heart rate (HR) tracking and the user's in-game performance [29,30]. The potential for the ExerCube as a feasible and effective exergame has been corroborated before [21,29,30]. However, the stationary version was originally designed for use within a gym-based environment and not for use in home-based environments.

To make the ExerCube available to a broader population, thereby reducing major exercise barriers, the development of a home-based version of the ExerCube would be advantageous. Therefore, the aim of this study was threefold: first, to develop a usable home-based exergame system by translating the spatial guidance and the motivating haptic feedback of the stationary version of the ExerCube into an exergame setting for home use; second, to evaluate the usability and training experience of the home-based exergame and its early-stage on-body feedback system; and third, to identify avenues for further user-centered design iterations of the system.

### Methods

### **Design Process of the On-Body Feedback System**

In an iterative, user-centered design process, an immersive on-body feedback system was developed to preserve the benefits of the physically immersive setup of the ExerCube (Figure 1A) for use in the home (Figure 1B). The first phase of the design process was to analyze the existing stationary exergame system and determine its technical opportunities, focusing on the attributes allowing for an immersive training experience. The most important finding was that the haptic and spatial experience provided by the 3 walls in the ExerCube had to be translated into an on-body feedback system. The focus was on using existing hardware as well as the player's body to implement an additional feedback system.



**Figure 1.** Comparison of the original full-size ExerCube and the first iteration of the home-based version. (A) The original ExerCube setting with the 3 walls. (B) The home-based exergame and its early-stage on-body feedback system (gloves). Note that in both versions, the user has sensors on the wrists and ankles so that movements are followed by the HTC Vive trackers. In the home-based version, feedback is provided either through auditory, haptic, or visual stimuli or through a combination of these modalities.

A 100:09:05 3180000 3180000 31800 31800 31800 31800 31800 31800 31800 31800 31800 31800 31



Following a rethinking process, different concepts for the on-body feedback system were elaborated by industrial designers and sports scientists. To ensure that these concepts were user-centered, a focus group session was conducted with 5 young adults who were potential target users of a home-based exergame. The aim of the focus group interview was to explore the target group's previous experiences with exergames; to define needs, preferences, and expectations for an optimal exergame experience; and to provide feedback about the elaborated on-body feedback systems using a semistructured interview guideline. The semistructured interview guideline was developed based on questions about home-based exergaming and especially on extending the gaming experience with an on-body feedback system.

Based on the feedback from the focus group session, the first version of the home-based exergame featured feedback gloves that were developed to provide the spatial perception and motivating haptic feedback needed to interact with the game. Vibration motors were used to resemble the haptic sensation of touching and punching without the need for physical walls. Visual and auditory feedback through light and sound were implemented as additional modes of interaction, exploiting the immersive experience of the game.

### **Usability Study**

В

### Study Design and Procedure

Healthy adults (age ≥18 years) participated in this study. Participants provided informed consent before participating. Participants attended 2 visits at the Inselspital University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland. Visit 1 familiarized the participants with the exergame through an in-game tutorial that provided in-depth instructions on how to complete each physical movement correctly before participants performed the exercise in a short sample of the game. Participants then completed four 5-minute exergame sessions, with each session separated by approximately 2 minutes of recovery time. Each session provided a different feedback modality to the participants (ie, whether they received feedback via auditory, haptic, or visual stimuli; Figure 2). In visit 2, participants completed the same four 5-minute exercise sessions as in visit 1. However, the order in which exercise sessions were completed was random. In both visits, participants were asked questions after completing each individual exergame session to assess their perception of the on-body feedback system. Following completion of all exergame sessions within visit 2, participants were asked to complete a range of questionnaires to assess usability and their exergame training experience. Participants then completed a semistructured interview with a member of the research team to assess their overall exergame experience. All interviews were conducted by author BS to eliminate researcher bias.



**Figure 2.** Protocol overview. Visual: light response on the hand sensor for correct movement; haptic: vibration response on the hand for correct movement; auditory: sound response for correct movement. FSS: Flow Short Scale; IEQ: Immersive Experience Questionnaire; PACES: Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale; RPE: rating of perceived exertion; SUS: System Usability Scale.

### Visit 1 (Duration = 60 minutes)Exergame tutorial (5 minutes) Questions assessing Exergame experience 1 4x 5-minute exergame sessions perception of feedback types Exergame sessions completed in numerical order (1, 2, 3, 4) (visual, haptic, and 1. Visual feedback audio) 2. Haptic feedback 3. Audio and visual feedback Completed between 4. Visual, haptic, and audio feedback exergame sessions Physical and cognitive RPEs assessed (modified Borg scale) Visit 2 (Duration = 90 minutes)Questions assessing Exergame experience 2 4x 5-minute exergame sessions perception of feedback types Exergame sessions completed in randomized order (eg. 3, 1, 2, 4) (visual, haptic, and 1. Visual feedback audio) 2. Haptic feedback 3. Audio and visual feedback Completed between 4. Visual, haptic, and audio feedback exergame sessions

Physical and cognitive RPEs assessed (modified Borg scale)

### Questionnaires and Interview

- SUS
- FSS
- PACES
- IEQ
- · Semistructured interview

Completed after full exergame experience

## Ethics Approval

This study was granted an exemption from ethical approval by the Cantonal Ethics Committee, Bern, Switzerland (REQ-2021-00061).

# Description of the Home-Based Exergame Set-up

"Sphery Racer" (by Sphery Ltd), a single-player game experience designed for the ExerCube setting, was used as the game environment. The "Sphery Racer" asks players to progress along a fast-paced racetrack via an avatar on a hover board. The customized motion tracking system (HTC Vive trackers) transfers the player's movements (based on a functional workout) onto this avatar and thus onto the virtual racing track. Along the race, players are challenged by obstacles that require

whole-body, functional physical exercises (eg, squats, lunges, and burpees) and by an additional cognitive challenge that includes quick information processing by deciding which exercise has to be performed when (ie, reaction time and coordinative challenges). Each correctly performed and timed exercise is rewarded with points. Players can advance through 5 game levels. Each level can be reached by performing a certain number of correctly executed physical exercises in succession (combo). Players are also demoted if they make mistakes. The higher the level, the higher the game speed (ie, the quicker appearance of the physical exercise). The greater the physical and cognitive challenge, the greater the point multiplier. To further ensure an ideal workout experience, the home-based exergame incorporates technology that adapts the game speed to the individualized requirements based on continuous HR



tracking, aiming to keep the players at 80% of their maximal HR.

The newly developed on-body feedback system was integrated into the gloves of the tracking system to provide spatial guidance and motivating haptic feedback through different on-body feedback modalities. Flashing lights (visual feedback), vibration (haptic feedback), and sound (auditory feedback) appeared (depending on the predefined setting, Figure 2) when participants performed the correct exercise in the correct time window. The device illuminated red (visual feedback) when participants committed an error (wrong exercise or incorrect timing). Furthermore, the color of the lights changed depending on the game level (level 1: very dark cyan; level 2: dark blue; level 3: dark cyan-lime green; level 4: moderate orange; level 5: dark magenta).

# Assessments of Usability and Exergame Training Experience

Exergame usability was measured using the System Usability Scale (SUS;  $\alpha$ =.91) [31,32], consisting of 10 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale (0: not true; 4: true). The overall usability score was calculated and multiplied by 2.5 to give a score out of 100. A score of at least 70 was set for an "acceptable solution" in this study; between 50 and 70 was "marginally acceptable"; and below 50 was "unacceptable" [32,33]. Participants' exergame training experience was evaluated through the Flow Short Scale (FSS;  $\alpha$ =.90) [34]. The FSS consisted of 13 items rated on a 7-point Likert scale (1: not at all; 7: very much) and was measured in 4 dimensions: overall flow, fluency of performance, absorption by activity, and perceived importance. For the dimensions of overall flow, fluency of performance, and absorption by activity, scores closer to 7 signal a positive score. For perceived importance, a score closer to 1 is deemed a positive score. Participants' enjoyment of the exergame training was assessed using the Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES; α=.96) [35-38], which consists of 18 bipolar statements with 7 points between statements. To assess immersion within the game, participants completed the Immersive Experience Questionnaire (IEQ), which consists of 31 items assessed on a 7-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree; 7=strongly agree) [39]. The IEQ analysis categorizes the responses into the following subcategories: total

immersion, challenge, control, real-world dissociation, emotional involvement, and cognitive involvement [39]. The final single-item measure of immersion was measured on a 10-point scale (1: not at all immersed; 10: very immersed). To assess both physical and cognitively perceived exertion, a modified 10-point Borg scale (1: very, very light; 10: extremely heavy) was used [40]. Finally, a semistructured interview was designed to provide a specific evaluation of usability and training experience. The interview consisted of questions in several categories: overall, game software, game control, at-home use, focus, motivation, training (physical and cognitive), and further thoughts, including wishes and ideas.

### **Data Analysis**

Quantitative data (questionnaires and ratings of perceived exertion scales) were analyzed and reported descriptively. The semistructured interviews were assessed by 3 of the authors (AMN, AS, and YR). The authors followed an iterative thematic coding approach (overall experience, game [software], game control [hardware], at-home use, focus, motivation, training, and further thoughts including wishes and ideas) based on qualitative content analysis [41]. The themes for the coding were based on the categories of the semistructured interview that were determined before the study started. For all interviews, the coders individually transcribed and coded the data according to the categories of the interview guidelines. In iterations, the coders discussed the emerging results until an agreement was reached. Finally, 2 of the authors (AMN and AS) further summarized the results to compile the individual statements into main findings.

### Results

### **Participants**

A total of 16 participants (n=9 females, n=7 males; mean age 25, SD 3 years) were recruited for the study. One participant did not complete visit 1 due to a technical issue (a connection issue with the battery source of the on-body feedback system); therefore, 15 participants (n=9 females and n=6 males; mean age 25, SD 3 years) completed both study visits.

### **Questionnaire Responses**

The data from the questionnaires are presented in Table 1.



Table 1. Quantitative data assessing system usability, flow, enjoyment, immersion, and perceived physical and cognitive exertion (N=15).

| Measurement                              | Mean (SD)   | Median (IQR)     | Range     |  |
|--|-------------|------------------|-----------|--|
| System usability                         |             |                  |           |  |
| System Usability Scale                   | 70.5 (12.0) | 70.0 (65.0-77.5) | 47.5-92.5 |  |
| Training experience                      |             |                  |           |  |
| Flow Short Scale                         |             |                  |           |  |
| Overall flow                             | 5.3 (1.0)   | 5.6 (5.0-6.0)    | 2.8-6.3   |  |
| Fluency of performance                   | 5.5 (1.1)   | 5.8 (4.9-6.2)    | 3.3-6.8   |  |
| Absorption                               | 5.0 (1.2)   | 5.3 (4.9-5.6)    | 1.8-6.5   |  |
| Perceived importance                     | 2.3 (1.3)   | 2.0 (1.2-2.7)    | 1.0-6.0   |  |
| Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale        | 5.3 (1.1)   | 5.6 (5.0-6.0)    | 2.3-6.5   |  |
| Immersive Experience Questionnaire       |             |                  |           |  |
| Total immersion                          | 4.7 (0.9)   | 5.0 (4.4-5.2)    | 1.7-5.4   |  |
| Challenge                                | 4.8 (0.9)   | 4.8 (4.5-5.4)    | 2.5-6.0   |  |
| Control                                  | 4.5 (1.2)   | 4.6 (4.1-5.2)    | 1.0-5.6   |  |
| Real world dissociation                  | 4.3 (1.1)   | 4.3 (4.0-4.9)    | 1.1-5.4   |  |
| Emotional involvement                    | 4.0 (1.3)   | 4.0 (3.8-4.9)    | 1.0-5.8   |  |
| Cognitive involvement                    | 5.4 (1.3)   | 5.7 (5.2-6.1)    | 1.6-6.7   |  |
| Immersion reliability check <sup>a</sup> | 7.0 (2.0)   | 8.0 (6.3-8.0)    | 1.0-9.0   |  |
| Rating of perceived exertions            |             |                  |           |  |
| Physical                                 | 4.8 (1.6)   | 5.0 (4.0-6.0)    | 1.5-7.0   |  |
| Cognitive                                | 3.9 (1.4)   | 4.0 (3.0-5.0)    | 1.0-6.0   |  |

an=14. Scores presented as mean values, median values, SDs, IQRs, and the minimum and maximum values for each measurement.

### **Interview Data: On-Body Feedback System**

Questions about the on-body feedback system revealed that during visit 1, participants did not notice the flash and colors in the visual feedback mode. However, the red-colored lights, which appeared when an error occurred, were strongly perceived by the participants. The vibration (haptic feedback), as well as the timing of the introduction of this feedback in the game, were immediately registered by the participants. The vibration was perceived as supportive. If the vibration was perceived as distracting, it was only at the beginning, as participants became familiar with the game. The sound (auditory feedback) was strongly perceived. However, the sound was not perceived as pleasant, and the quality was criticized. Many participants preferred the combination of sound and vibration. Few preferred the version with vibration only.

After visit 2, most of the participants recognized when feedback was added or omitted. Almost half of the participants chose haptic feedback (vibration) alone as their favorite feedback, as it was perceived to be discreet and less distracting. Likewise, almost half of the participants preferred the combination of vibration and sound due to the more pronounced feedback and motivating effect. The changes in colors depending on the level were better classified in the second study visit. The flash was not recognized correctly because it was mostly out of the field of view.

In visits 1 and 2, the colors and the flash were neither rated as supportive nor as a distraction. The vibration was perceived very positively by all participants and was not distracting. The use of the sound feedback was also immediately registered by all participants. However, the sound was perceived as too loud by almost half of the participants. Likewise, the sound was described as rather unsupportive due to its poor quality, delayed sounding, and volume. Many participants were even distracted by the sound during the game for the same reasons.

Regarding the attachment of the on-body feedback system with straps on the wrist, about half of the participants found them not annoying, while the rest found this form of attachment rather less comfortable due to sweat, cables, and a battery backpack during exercises.

# Interview Data: Overall Training Usability and Experience

# Overall Experience

Overall, participants enjoyed the study as well as the gaming and training experiences. They experienced positive feelings during the training and described it as *something new*, *fun*, *innovative*, *cool*, *interesting*, *motivating*, *immersive*, *entertaining*, *interactive*, *intuitive*, *clear*, *simple*, *effective*, and *challenging*.



### Game Software

Most of the participants liked the game (design, dive into another world, forget the training aspect, new concept, and different movements). Some participants stated that they would suggest adjustments to certain features of the game (one-sided movements, upper body relatively little involved, and repetitive exercises). Furthermore, the game was comprehensible for the majority of the participants (the game explained what needs to be done, at the second training it was already easier, and the tutorial explained the exercises). Participants mentioned aspects to improve the comprehensibility of the game: timing of the exercises, registration of the executed exercises, and explanation of the movement execution. The video game environment and the narrative framework were positively rated or even not noticed by the participants while performing the exergame. Some participants would like to have more variety through alternative game scenarios (eg, more realistic game environment) in the future. Furthermore, all the participants noticed that the game adapted to their individual performance skills (speed of the game).

### At-Home Use

Participants could imagine exercising at home with the exergame system (little time and material, lockdown [COVID-19], bad weather, and for people who do not want to train exposed). They would install it in their living room, basement, or office and would want to spend a maximum of 5.7 (SD 3.8) minutes installing the system, suggesting that the game should be quick and easy to set up. For many of the participants, their existing TV at home would be big enough to play the exergame at home. Certain aspects were mentioned as reasons why they would not want to use the system at home: difficult to set up, room size, and preference to do sport in nature (eg, jogging in the forest).

### **Focus**

All participants focused on the game during the training (upcoming exercises, exercise execution, reaching a high score, game stimuli, and being absorbed by the gaming environment) and paid less attention to what was happening around them. Few of them paid attention to their body during the training (inconsistent registration of the movement and position in the room). The majority of the participants felt immersed in the gaming environment. Some of them felt the immersion was interrupted due to inconsistent exercise registration of the exercises or a delay in the game. A few participants felt dissatisfied with the current setup and would prefer virtual reality (VR) glasses. Most of the participants were distracted (to varying degrees) from the training intensity by the gaming environment.

### **Motivation**

Participants were motivated to move and get better in the game (flow feeling, high score, gaming factor, correct movement execution, and high combo). Many of the participants stated that they would be more motivated to train with the exergame than visit a gym.



Furthermore, the majority of the participants felt physically and cognitively challenged by the exergame (*upcoming exercises*, more in the first training session, and the faster or more strenuous the game became the more you had to think) but not to their limits. Participants identified the following aspects as their biggest challenges: correct exercise execution, timing (when the game became fast), keeping concentration high, and switching from one side of the room to the other side). For some participants, the movements felt natural, and for others, the movements felt partly natural (jogging in place was weird and execution of the lunges). Participants would train a maximum of 2.5 (SD 1.0) times per week (range 1-4 times per week) for a maximum of 30.0 (SD 11.7) minutes (range 10-60 minutes) with the fitness exergame.

### Thoughts Including Wishes and Ideas

Finally, participants would like to continue to play the fitness exergame in the future, and thus they wished for further extension to keep training motivation at a high level over a longer period: a tutorial with a more detailed description of the exercises; smaller, handier, and more reliable game controller; options regarding feedback selection and the video game environment; as well as more and different movements for the training.

### Discussion

### **Principal Findings**

The aim of this study was threefold: first, to develop a usable home-based exergame system by translating the spatial guidance and the motivating haptic feedback of the stationary version of the ExerCube into an exergame setting for home use; second, to evaluate the usability and training experience of the home-based exergame and its early stage on-body feedback system; third, to identify avenues for further user-centered design iterations of the system. Study results showed that the home-based exergame and its on-body feedback system were usable for a young healthy population. Regarding the on-body feedback system, participants enjoyed the haptic feedback and the combination of haptic and auditory feedback the best due to their supportive and motivating attributes. Furthermore, questionnaires and the semistructured interview indicated that participants enjoyed the motivating, interactive, and immersive gaming and training experience. Moreover, findings for future iterations of the home-based exergame system emerged from this evaluation process.

### Usability of the Exergame and its Feedback System

The SUS score of 70.5 (SD 12) is an acceptable score according to Bangor et al [32,33] and meets the "acceptable solution" value (set at least 70) of this study. Next to the SUS, the system's usability was also reflected in the participants' interview responses. Participants quickly understood how to control the exergame and rated it as self-explanatory and comprehensive. Furthermore, participants enjoyed the experience with the prototype on-body feedback system and could imagine using this exergame at home. The result of this study is on par with the literature, showing that participants



found exergames to be an acceptable and usable solution for training in a home setting [22,25]. Thus, the integrated iterative user-centered design process seems to be an essential aspect of designing usable exergames [42,43].

Nevertheless, certain aspects were mentioned in the interview that would further improve the system's usability and, thus, the gaming experience. These suggestions included analogue (eg, floor markings) or digital (eg, in-game visual or auditory signals) reference points to define the training area for the player. In the stationary ExerCube, the player is surrounded by 3 walls defining the training area. The challenge for future development work is to define the training area in a way that maintains the training experience and flow at a high level for the player. A further challenge that is connected to the training area is the timing of the exercises, meaning when the player has to initiate the movement to meet the target and reach the full score. In the ExerCube, the targets are moving from the front wall to the side wall. Thus, the home-based system should have alternative information that supports the player in deciding the timing of the movement. Another challenge that goes along with the space aspect is the training room, as each user has different space conditions at home. Therefore, the home-based system should have a certain degree of flexibility (eg, via calibration) to adjust to different room situations. Furthermore, the exergame should include further information and explanations of the executed movements. This aspect is even more important for home-based exergame training compared to training in a fitness class that is usually supervised by an instructor.

Regarding the on-body feedback device, the system has to be further developed and integrated into the existing devices (eg, tracking system), allowing the exergame to work as one complete system. This step should increase the usability of the system. Moreover, the visual and auditory feedback have to be improved in order to provide an additional supportive system for the above-mentioned challenges of an at-home exergame system. Furthermore, the feedback should be better integrated into the existing exergame scenario, providing the player with an on-body projected gaming experience. Overall, the study showed that the home-based exergame, as well as its early-stage on-body feedback system, is a usable training system for young healthy adults. Promising avenues and challenges were uncovered that have to be faced in future R&D work to further improve the usability and training experience of the home-based exergame.

### **Game and Training Experience**

The interview results showed that the participants enjoyed the study as well as the training experience. The majority of the participants reported that they felt immersed in the gaming environment and were motivated to move and get better at their game performance. Alongside the interview results, the questionnaire data (FSS, PACES, and IEQ) showed medium-to-high scores, indicating that participants were immersed in the gaming environment, enjoyed the training, and experienced flow feelings during the exergame training sessions. Flow and immersion, especially those generated by exergame training approaches, can divert the player's attention away from the physical effort [29,44-46]. In terms of training motivation,

this training experience and the shift in focus could be crucial to increasing intrinsic training motivation and, thus, long-term training motivation and adherence in physically inactive people [46,47]. These findings are in line with previous studies showing that home-based exergames can elicit favorable emotional experiences as well as positive responses regarding motivational aspects [22,23].

Moreover, the exergame training approach has to have an individually effective training load to experience flow [48,49] as well as trigger physical and cognitive improvements when performed over a longer time period. The assessed perceived exertion values showed moderate intensities for the physical and cognitive aspects. This is in line with the interview results, which showed that the participants felt physically and cognitively challenged by the exergame. The World Health Organization recommends at least 150-300 minutes per week of moderate intensity physical activity or 75-150 minutes per week of vigorous intensity physical activity, or an equivalent combination [13].

Overall, the results demonstrated that this exergame and its early-stage on-body feedback system have the potential to be an attractive home-based training solution. The newly developed system triggered similar game and training experiences as shown in previous studies using the ExerCube [21,29,30]. Furthermore, this home-based exergame solution could overcome identified barriers to exercise, such as lack of time, limited access to facilities and appropriate equipment, bad weather, and lack of motivation [4,5], and thus increase the activity level of physically inactive people [47,50]. Nevertheless, further extensions and variety of the exergame might help to maintain motivation as well as the training load at an optimal level over longer training periods in players using the system at home [25].

### **On-Body Feedback System**

The interview data revealed that participants enjoyed the experience with the on-body feedback system. The haptic feedback, in particular, was well received as a single stimulus as well as in combination with the visual feedback. This is in line with findings from previous studies in exergames, which showed that haptic feedback positively influences the feelings of spatial presence, immersion, flow, and motivation [21,51,52] as well as embodiment [53].

Similar to other studies, it could be shown that haptic stimuli in exergames are preferred over auditory and visual feedback [54,55]. In our study, this might also be amplified by the fact that the visual and auditory processing loads were already quite high because of the new information from the virtual game scenario, and thus players had more resources for the haptic feedback. Therefore, the cognitive resources for the auditory and visual feedback remain limited, at least during the first gaming sessions. It can be assumed that this will change after several gaming sessions are completed.

Generally, the features of the newly designed on-body feedback system are very promising. However, the study provided inspiring avenues for further development of the on-body feedback system. Auditory feedback, for example, needs to be



further optimized since there are specific requirements for auditory feedback and music in sports [56] and exergames [21].

In future research, it would be interesting to evaluate the impact of the different on-body feedbacks on the feelings of empowerment [57] and self-esteem [55] as well as on aspects of movement quality [58].

### Limitations

A limitation of this study is the lack of variability in the sample; as the sample within this study was all young healthy participants with a small range in age (19-30 years), the inclusion of a wider age range within this study would have increased the generalizability of the findings. Moreover, there was no defined washout period between the visits, meaning that participants had different time periods between visits 1 and 2.

However, no participant had more than 2 weeks between visits; therefore familiarization was similar across all participants.

### **Conclusions**

Overall, the results showed that the home-based exergame and its early-stage on-body feedback system were rated as usable and an enjoyable training experience by a young healthy population. Results demonstrated that this exergame and its on-body feedback system have the potential to be an attractive home-based training solution. Furthermore, avenues emerged for future design iterations of the home-based exergame system. Future studies are needed to investigate the feasibility of the exergame in physically inactive people, assess participants' experiences of repeated home-based use of the exergame, and examine preliminary training effects on physical and cognitive functions in young adults.

### Acknowledgments

The authors want to thank the Swiss Innovation Agency Innosuisse for funding the project (grant number: 43273.1 IP-LS - 1).

### **Conflicts of Interest**

Besides their academic careers, ALM-N and AS are also working for Sphery. ALM-N is a cofounder of the start-up company Sphery Ltd, which developed the ExerCube based on the results of her previous research projects. AS has been working as Senior Research and Development Manager at Sphery since November 2019. SN is also a cofounder of Sphery, while YR started working as a Project Manager at Sphery in 2021. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. No revenue was paid (or promised to be paid) directly to ALM-N, to AS, to SN, to YR, to Sphery, or to the research institutions.

### References

- 1. Booth FW, Roberts CK, Laye MJ. Lack of exercise is a major cause of chronic diseases. Compr Physiol 2012 Apr;2(2):1143-1211 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/cphy.c110025] [Medline: 23798298]
- 2. Kohl HW, Craig CL, Lambert EV, Inoue S, Alkandari JR, Leetongin G, Lancet Physical Activity Series Working Group. The pandemic of physical inactivity: global action for public health. Lancet 2012 Jul 21;380(9838):294-305. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60898-8] [Medline: 22818941]
- 3. Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Worldwide trends in insufficient physical activity from 2001 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 358 population-based surveys with 1.9 million participants. Lancet Glob Health 2018 Oct;6(10):e1077-e1086 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30357-7] [Medline: 30193830]
- 4. Trost SG, Owen N, Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Brown W. Correlates of adults' participation in physical activity: review and update. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002 Dec;34(12):1996-2001. [doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200212000-00020">10.1097/00005768-200212000-00020</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200212000-00020">12.471307</a>]
- 5. Pedersen MRL, Hansen AF, Elmose-Østerlund K. Motives and barriers related to physical activity and sport across social backgrounds: implications for health promotion. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021 May 28;18(11):5810 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph18115810] [Medline: 34071630]
- 6. Mutz M, Gerke M. Sport and exercise in times of self-quarantine: how Germans changed their behaviour at the beginning of the Covid-19 pandemic. Int Rev Sociol Sport 2020 Jun 22;56(3):305-316. [doi: 10.1177/1012690220934335]
- 7. Perri MG, Martin AD, Leermakers EA, Sears SF, Notelovitz M. Effects of group- versus home-based exercise in the treatment of obesity. J Consult Clin Psychol 1997 Apr;65(2):278-285. [doi: 10.1037//0022-006x.65.2.278] [Medline: 9086691]
- 8. Scott SN, Shepherd SO, Hopkins N, Dawson EA, Strauss JA, Wright DJ, et al. Home-hit improves muscle capillarisation and eNOS/NAD(P)Hoxidase protein ratio in obese individuals with elevated cardiovascular disease risk. J Physiol 2019 Aug;597(16):4203-4225 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1113/JP278062] [Medline: 31218680]
- 9. Hong J, Kim SW, Joo H, Kong H. Effects of smartphone mirroring-based telepresence exercise on body composition and physical function in obese older women. Aging Clin Exp Res 2022 May;34(5):1113-1121 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s40520-021-02033-4] [Medline: 35028918]
- 10. Wang Y, Liu X, Wang W, Shi Y, Ji X, Hu L, et al. Adherence, efficacy, and safety of wearable technology-assisted combined home-based exercise in Chinese patients with ankylosing spondylitis: randomized pilot controlled clinical trial. J Med Internet Res 2022 Jan 18;24(1):e29703 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/29703] [Medline: 35040798]
- 11. Scott SN, Shepherd SO, Andrews RC, Narendran P, Purewal TS, Kinnafick F, et al. A multidisciplinary evaluation of a virtually supervised home-based high-intensity interval training intervention in people with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2019 Dec;42(12):2330-2333. [doi: 10.2337/dc19-0871] [Medline: 31530660]



12. McDermott MM, Spring B, Berger JS, Treat-Jacobson D, Conte MS, Creager MA, et al. Effect of a home-based exercise intervention of wearable technology and telephone coaching on walking performance in peripheral artery disease: the HONOR randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2018 Apr 24;319(16):1665-1676 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.3275] [Medline: 29710165]

- 13. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med 2020 Dec;54(24):1451-1462 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955] [Medline: 33239350]
- 14. Oh Y, Yang S. Defining exergames and exergaming. 2010 Presented at: Proceedings of Meaningful Play; 2010; East Lansing, MI, USA p. 21-23.
- 15. Warburton DER, Bredin SSD, Horita LTL, Zbogar D, Scott JM, Esch BTA, et al. The health benefits of interactive video game exercise. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2007 Aug;32(4):655-663. [doi: 10.1139/H07-038] [Medline: 17622279]
- 16. Nitz JC, Kuys S, Isles R, Fu S. Is the Wii Fit a new-generation tool for improving balance, health and well-being? A pilot study. Climacteric 2010 Oct;13(5):487-491. [doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3109/13697130903395193">10.3109/13697130903395193</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3109/13697130903395193">19.3109/13697130903395193</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3109/13697130903395193">19.3109/13697130903395193</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3109/13697130903395193">19.3109/13697130903395193</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3109/13697130903395193">19.3109/13697130903395193</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3109/13697130903395193">19.3109/13697130903395193</a>]
- 17. Rhodes RE, Warburton DER, Bredin SSD. Predicting the effect of interactive video bikes on exercise adherence: an efficacy trial. Psychol Health Med 2009 Dec;14(6):631-640. [doi: 10.1080/13548500903281088] [Medline: 20183536]
- 18. Jacobs K, Zhu L, Dawes M, Franco J, Huggins A, Igari C, et al. Wii Health: a preliminary study of the health and wellness benefits of Wii Fit on university students. Br J Occup Ther 2011 Jun 01;74(6):262-268. [doi: 10.4276/030802211x13074383957823]
- 19. Peng W, Lin J, Crouse J. Is playing exergames really exercising? A meta-analysis of energy expenditure in active video games. Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw 2011 Nov;14(11):681-688. [doi: 10.1089/cyber.2010.0578] [Medline: 21668370]
- 20. Street TD, Lacey SJ, Langdon RR. Gaming your way to health: a systematic review of exergaming programs to increase health and exercise behaviors in adults. Games Health J 2017 Jun;6(3):136-146. [doi: 10.1089/g4h.2016.0102] [Medline: 28448175]
- 21. Martin-Niedecken A, Rogers K, Vidal L, Mekler E, Segura E. ExerCube vs. personal trainer: evaluating a holistic, immersive, and adaptive fitness game setup.: Association for Computing Machinery; 2019 Presented at: Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; 2019; Glasgow, Scotland, UK. [doi: 10.1145/3290605.3300318]
- 22. Adcock M, Thalmann M, Schättin A, Gennaro F, de Bruin ED. A pilot study of an in-home multicomponent exergame training for older adults: feasibility, usability and pre-post evaluation. Front Aging Neurosci 2019;11:304 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2019.00304] [Medline: 31824295]
- 23. Kassee C, Hunt C, Holmes MW, Lloyd M. Home-based Nintendo Wii training to improve upper-limb function in children ages 7 to 12 with spastic hemiplegic cerebral palsy. J Pediatr Rehabil Med 2017 May 17;10(2):145-154. [doi: 10.3233/PRM-170439] [Medline: 28582885]
- 24. Staiano AE, Beyl RA, Guan W, Hendrick CA, Hsia DS, Newton RL. Home-based exergaming among children with overweight and obesity: a randomized clinical trial. Pediatr Obes 2018 Nov;13(11):724-733 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12438] [Medline: 30027607]
- 25. Gerber CN, Kunz B, van Hedel HJA. Preparing a neuropediatric upper limb exergame rehabilitation system for home-use: a feasibility study. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2016 Mar 23;13:33 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12984-016-0141-x] [Medline: 27008504]
- 26. Sultan N, Khushnood K, Awan MMA. Exergaming: an effective way to maintain physical and mental health at home during COVID-19 pandemic. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2020 Oct;30(10):143. [doi: 10.29271/jcpsp.2020.supp2.143] [Medline: 33115595]
- 27. Tao G, Miller WC, Eng JJ, Lindstrom H, Imam B, Payne M. Self-directed usage of an in-home exergame after a supervised telerehabilitation training program for older adults with lower-limb amputation. Prosthet Orthot Int 2020 Apr;44(2):52-59. [doi: 10.1177/0309364620906272] [Medline: 32114933]
- 28. Martin-Niedecken AL, Mekler ED. The ExerCube: participatory design of an immersive fitness game environment. In: Göbel S, Garcia-Agundez A, Tregel T, Ma M, Hauge JB, Oliveira M, et al, editors. Serious Games. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018.
- 29. Martin-Niedecken AL, Mahrer A, Rogers K, de Bruin ED, Schättin A. "HIIT" the ExerCube: comparing the effectiveness of functional high-intensity interval training in conventional vs. exergame-based training. Front. Comput. Sci 2020 Oct 23;2:33. [doi: 10.3389/fcomp.2020.00033]
- 30. Martin-Niedecken AL, Schwarz T, Schättin A. Comparing the impact of heart rate-based in-game adaptations in an exergame-based functional high-intensity interval training on training intensity and experience in healthy young adults. Front Psychol 2021;12:572877 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.572877] [Medline: 34234705]
- 31. Brooke J. SUS-a "quick and dirty" usability scale. In: Jordan PW, Thomas B, McClelland IL, Weerdmeester B, editors. Usability Evaluation in Industry. London: CRC Press; 1996:4-7.
- 32. Bangor A, Kortum PT, Miller JT. An empirical evaluation of the system usability scale. Int J Hum-Comput Int 2008 Jul 30;24(6):574-594. [doi: 10.1080/10447310802205776]
- 33. Bangor A, Kortum P, Miller J. Determining what individual SUS scores mean: adding an adjective rating scale. J usability stud 2009;4(3):114-123.



- 34. Rheinberg F, Vollmeyer R, Engeser S. Die Erfassung des Flow-Erlebens. Boston, USA: Hogrefe; 2003.
- 35. Kendzierski D, DeCarlo KJ. Physical activity enjoyment scale: two validation studies. J Sport Exerc Psychol 1991 Mar;13(1):50-64. [doi: 10.1123/jsep.13.1.50]
- 36. Motl RW, Dishman RK, Saunders R, Dowda M, Felton G, Pate RR. Measuring enjoyment of physical activity in adolescent girls. Am J Prev Med 2001 Aug;21(2):110-117. [doi: 10.1016/s0749-3797(01)00326-9] [Medline: 11457630]
- 37. Graves LEF, Ridgers ND, Williams K, Stratton G, Atkinson G, Cable NT. The physiological cost and enjoyment of Wii Fit in adolescents, young adults, and older adults. J Phys Act Health 2010 May;7(3):393-401. [doi: 10.1123/jpah.7.3.393] [Medline: 20551497]
- 38. Mullen SP, Olson EA, Phillips SM, Szabo AN, Wójcicki TR, Mailey EL, et al. Measuring enjoyment of physical activity in older adults: invariance of the physical activity enjoyment scale (paces) across groups and time. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2011 Sep 27;8:103 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-8-103] [Medline: 21951520]
- 39. Jennett C, Cox AL, Cairns P, Dhoparee S, Epps A, Tijs T, et al. Measuring and defining the experience of immersion in games. Intl J Hum-Comput Stud 2008 Sep;66(9):641-661. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2008.04.004]
- 40. Borg G. Borg's Perceived Exertion and Pain Scales. Champaign, IL, USA: Human Kinetics; 1998.
- 41. Mayring P. Qualitative content analysis: theoretical background and procedures. In: Bikner-Ahsbahs A, Knipping C, Presmeg N, editors. Approaches to Qualitative Research in Mathematics Education: Examples of Methodology and Methods. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer International Publishing; 2015:365-380.
- 42. Harte R, Glynn L, Rodríguez-Molinero A, Baker PM, Scharf T, Quinlan LR, et al. A human-centered design methodology to enhance the usability, human factors, and user experience of connected health systems: a three-phase methodology. JMIR Hum Factors 2017 Mar 16;4(1):e8 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/humanfactors.5443] [Medline: 28302594]
- 43. Norman D. User Centered System Design: New Perspectives on Human-Computer Interaction. London: CRC Press; 1986.
- 44. Csikszentmihalyi M. Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience. New York: Harper and Row; 1990.
- 45. Glen K, Eston R, Loetscher T, Parfitt G. Exergaming: feels good despite working harder. PLoS One 2017;12(10):e0186526 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0186526] [Medline: 29059227]
- 46. Mouatt B, Smith AE, Mellow ML, Parfitt G, Smith RT, Stanton TR. The use of virtual reality to influence motivation, affect, enjoyment, and engagement during exercise: a scoping review. Front. Virtual Real 2020 Dec 23;1:39. [doi: 10.3389/frvir.2020.564664]
- 47. Staiano AE, Abraham AA, Calvert SL. Motivating effects of cooperative exergame play for overweight and obese adolescents. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2012 Jul 01;6(4):812-819 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/193229681200600412] [Medline: 22920807]
- 48. Sinclair J, Hingston P, Masek M. Exergame development using the dual flow model. 2009 Presented at: Proceedings of the Sixth Australasian Conference on Interactive Entertainment; 2009; Sydney, Australia. [doi: 10.1145/1746050.1746061]
- 49. Sinclair J, Hingston P, Masek M. Considerations for the design of exergames. 2007 Dec 01 Presented at: Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques in Australia and Southeast Asia; 2007; Perth, Australia. [doi: 10.1145/1321261.1321313]
- 50. Staiano AE, Abraham AA, Calvert SL. Adolescent exergame play for weight loss and psychosocial improvement: a controlled physical activity intervention. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2013 Mar;21(3):598-601 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/oby.20282] [Medline: 23592669]
- 51. Martin-Niedecken AL, Götz U. Design and evaluation of a dynamically adaptive fitness game environment for children and young adolescents. 2016 Oct 15 Presented at: Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play Companion Extended Abstracts; 2016; Austin, Texas, USA. [doi: 10.1145/2968120.2987720]
- 52. Martin-Niedecken A, Götz U. Go with the dual flow: evaluating the psychophysiological adaptive fitness game environment "plunder planet". In: Alcañiz M, Göbel S, Ma M, Oliveira MF, Hauge JB, Marsh T, editors. Serious Games. Joint International Conference on Serious Games 2017. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017.
- 53. Vara MD, Baños RM, Rasal P, Rodríguez A, Rey B, Wrzesien M, et al. A game for emotional regulation in adolescents: the (body) interface device matters. Comput Hum Behav 2016 Apr;57:267-273. [doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.12.033]
- 54. Stach T, Graham T. Exploring haptic feedback in exergames. In: Campos P, Graham N, Jorge J, Nunes N, Palanque P, Winckler M, editors. Human-Computer Interaction INTERACT 2011. Berlin: Springer International Publishing; 2011.
- 55. Lee S, Kim W, Park T, Peng W. The psychological effects of playing exergames: a systematic review. Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw 2017 Sep;20(9):513-532. [doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2017.0183">10.1089/cyber.2017.0183</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2017.0183">28837353</a>]
- 56. Schaffert N, Janzen TB, Mattes K, Thaut MH. A review on the relationship between sound and movement in sports and rehabilitation. Front Psychol 2019;10:244 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00244] [Medline: 30809175]
- 57. Lehtonen L, Kaos M, Kajastila R, Holsti L, Karsisto J, Pekkola S. Movement empowerment in a multiplayer mixed-reality trampoline game. 2019 Oct 17 Presented at: Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play; 2019; Barcelona, Spain. [doi: 10.1145/3311350.3347181]
- 58. Doyle J, Kelly D, Patterson M, Caulfield B. The effects of visual feedback in therapeutic exergaming on motor task accuracy. 2011 Presented at: 2011 International Conference on Virtual Rehabilitation; 2011; Zurich, Switzerland. [doi: 10.1109/icvr.2011.5971821]



### **Abbreviations**

**Exergame:** exercise game **FSS:** Flow Short Scale

HR: heart rate

**IEQ:** Immersive Experience Questionnaire **PACES:** Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale

SUS: System Usability Scale

Edited by N Zary; submitted 28.04.22; peer-reviewed by X Ji, M Jordan-Marsh; comments to author 21.07.22; revised version received 26.09.22; accepted 24.10.22; published 06.12.22

Please cite as:

Schättin A, Pickles J, Flagmeier D, Schärer B, Riederer Y, Niedecken S, Villiger S, Jurt R, Kind N, Scott SN, Stettler C, Martin-Niedecken

Development of a Novel Home-Based Exergame With On-Body Feedback: Usability Study

JMIR Serious Games 2022;10(4):e38703 URL: https://games.jmir.org/2022/4/e38703

doi: 10.2196/38703

PMID:

©Alexandra Schättin, Jordan Pickles, David Flagmeier, Benjamin Schärer, Yanick Riederer, Stephan Niedecken, Stefan Villiger, Roman Jurt, Nicole Kind, Sam N Scott, Christoph Stettler, Anna Lisa Martin-Niedecken. Originally published in JMIR Serious Games (https://games.jmir.org), 06.12.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Serious Games, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://games.jmir.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

